



#5/a²⁶⁷⁵
LTyson
10-11-02
PATENT
YOR920000133US1
IBM-245

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : Ravishankar Rao, et al.
Serial Number : 09/552,423
Filing Date : April 19, 2000
Examiner : Srilakshmi K. Kumar
Group Art Unit : 2675
For : PRIORITY DRIVEN DITHER

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
OCT 10 2002
Technology Center 2600

Sir:

In response to the Official Action dated July 3, 2002, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

REMARKS

Applicants have amended Claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of Claims 2-9 therein. It is expected that, based upon this amendment pursuant to the Examiner's suggestions, Claims 1, 10 and 11 are allowable. As Claims 12 and 13 are dependent upon Claim 1, which is allowable, these Claims should also be allowable.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejection of claims 14 - 17 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as unpatentable over Tai, et al. (U.S. Patent 6,411,745). The Examiner in his rejection states unqualifiedly that Claim 14 defines "...a method of halftoning at least a portion of the image, the method comprising employing a first rule of half toning and a second rule of half toning." The Examiner then reverses his unqualified statement by stating in the